

ON THE STRONGLY MID p -SUMMING OPERATORS AND APPLICATION

Ferradi Athmane

University of M'sila

ABSTRACT

In this paper, we introduce and study the new ideal of strongly mid p -summing linear operators between Banach spaces. We prove, an operator is strongly mid p -summing if and only if its adjoint is absolutely mid p^* -summing. This result led us to prove, X is p -Dunford-Pettis property ($1 < p < \infty$) if and only if, so is X^* .

1. NOTATION AND PRELIMINARIES

The notation used in the paper is in general standard. The letters X, X_1, \dots, X_m, Y (m be in \mathbb{N}) shall denote Banach spaces over \mathbb{K} (real or complex scalars field). We will denote by $\mathcal{L}(X_1, \dots, X_m; Y)$ the Banach space of all bounded multilinear operators from $X_1 \times \dots \times X_m$ into Y equipped with the operator norm. $\mathcal{L}(X; Y)$ the Banach space of all bounded linear operators $T : X \rightarrow Y$ endowed with the usual sup norm. The closed unit ball of X is denoted by B_X and its topological dual by $X^* = \mathcal{L}(X; \mathbb{K})$.

For a Banach space X , and $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, let p^* be its conjugate that is $1/p + 1/p^* = 1$. Let us define the sequences spaces we shall work with : (see [3, 2]).

$\ell_p(X)$ = the Banach space of absolutely p -summable sequences with the norm ($1 \leq p < \infty$)

$$\| (x_j)_{j=1}^{\infty} \|_p := \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \|x_j\|^p \right)^{1/p}.$$

$\ell_p^w(X)$ = the Banach space of weakly p -summable sequences with the norm ($1 \leq p < \infty$)

$$\| (x_j)_{j=1}^{\infty} \|_{w,p} := \sup_{x^* \in B_{X^*}} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |x^*(x_j)|^p \right)^{1/p}.$$

$\ell_p^{mid}(X)$ the Banach space of mid p -summable sequences with the norm ($1 \leq p < \infty$)

$$\| (x_j)_{j=1}^{\infty} \|_{mid,p} := \sup_{(x_n^*)_{n=1}^{\infty} \in B_{\ell_p^w(X^*)}} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |x_n^*(x_j)|^p \right)^{1/p}.$$

When $p = \infty$ we have $\| (x_j)_{j=1}^{\infty} \|_{\infty} = \| (x_j)_{j=1}^{\infty} \|_{w,\infty} = \| (x_j)_{j=1}^{\infty} \|_{mid,\infty} = \sup_j \|x_j\|$. The relationships between the various sequence spaces are given by [2]

$$\ell_p(X) \subsetneq \ell_p^{mid}(X) \subsetneq \ell_p^w(X),$$

with

$$\| (x_j)_{j=1}^{\infty} \|_p \leq \| (x_j)_{j=1}^{\infty} \|_{w,p} \leq \| (x_j)_{j=1}^{\infty} \|_{mid,p}.$$

Recall that, for $1 \leq p < \infty$, we say that a continuous linear operator $T : X \rightarrow Y$ is absolutely p -summing if

$$(T(x_j))_{j=1}^{\infty} \in \ell_p(Y) \text{ whenever } (x_j)_{j=1}^{\infty} \in \ell_p^w(X).$$

The class of absolutely p -summing operator from X to Y will be represented by $\Pi_p(X; Y)$. An equivalent formulation asserts that T is absolutely p -summing if there is a positive constant C such that

$$\|(T(x_j))_{j=1}^{\infty}\|_p \leq C \|(x_j)_{j=1}^{\infty}\|_{w,p}$$

for all $(x_j)_{j=1}^{\infty} \in \ell_p^w(X)$. The infimum of all $C > 0$ that satisfy the above inequality define a norm, denoted by $\pi_p(T)$.

Let $(\mathcal{I}(X; Y), \alpha(\cdot))$ be an operator ideal, we put

$$\mathcal{I}^d(X; Y) = \{T \in \mathcal{L}(X; Y) : T^* \in \mathcal{I}(Y^*; X^*)\}.$$

For an operator $T \in \mathcal{I}^d(X; Y)$, we put $\alpha^d(T) = \alpha(T^*)$. With these notations $(\mathcal{I}^d(X; Y), \alpha^d(\cdot))$ is also a Banach operator ideal and is called the dual ideal of $(\mathcal{I}(X; Y), \alpha(\cdot))$ (see [6, Section 4]).

Let us recall now the definition of strongly p -summing linear operators. An operator $T \in \mathcal{L}(X; Y)$ is strongly p -summing if there is a constant C such that

$$\|(\langle T(x_j), y_j^* \rangle)_{j=1}^{\infty}\|_1 \leq C \|(x_j)_{j=1}^{\infty}\|_p \|(y_j^*)_{j=1}^{\infty}\|_{w,p^*}$$

for all $(x_j)_{j=1}^{\infty} \in \ell_p(X)$ and $(y_j^*)_{j=1}^{\infty} \in \ell_{p^*}^w(Y^*)$. The space $\mathcal{D}_p(X; Y)$ of all strongly p -summing linear operators from X into Y which is a Banach space with the norm $d_p(T)$ the infimum of all $C > 0$ that satisfy the above inequality. According to [5, 7] we obtain $\mathcal{D}_p^d(X; Y) = \Pi_{p^*}(X; Y)$ and $\Pi_p^d(X; Y) = \mathcal{D}_{p^*}(X; Y)$.

Our results are presented as follows. In first section 1, we recall important results and definitions to be used later. In section 2, we introduce and investigate a new ideal of strongly mid p -summing operators. We present a characterization given by a summability property. We also prove the related dual result : an operator $T \in \mathcal{L}(X; Y)$ is strongly mid p -summing ($T \in \mathcal{D}_p^{mid}(X; Y)$) if and only if its adjoint T^* is absolutely mid p^* -summing ($T^* \in \Pi_{p^*}^{mid}(Y^*; X^*)$). We study Banach space X for which $id_X \in \Pi_p^{mid}$ (Theorem 6), $id_X \in \mathcal{D}_p^{mid}$ (Theorem 8), $\mathcal{D}_p(\ell_p; X) = \mathcal{L}(\ell_p; X)$ (Theorem 10). We also prove, X has the p -Dunford-Pettis property if and only if X^* has the p -Dunford-Pettis property.

2. STRONGLY MID SUMMING LINEAR OPERATORS

Botelho, Campos and Santos [2] introduced the concept of absolutely mid p -summing operators. For $1 \leq p < \infty$, an operator $T \in \mathcal{L}(X; Y)$ is absolutely mid p -summing if

$$(T(x_j))_{j=1}^{\infty} \in \ell_p^{mid}(Y) \text{ whenever } (x_j)_{j=1}^{\infty} \in \ell_p(X).$$

By $\Pi_p^{mid}(X; Y)$, we denote the space of absolutely mid p -summing operators.

We introduce the concept of strongly mid p -summing linear operators as a characterization of the conjugates of absolutely mid p^* -summing linear operators. This idea seems to have appeared for the first time in [5] and [7].

Definition 1 Let $1 < p \leq \infty$. A mapping $T \in \mathcal{L}(X; Y)$ is strongly mid p -summing if there exist a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\|(\langle T(x_j), y_j^* \rangle)_{j=1}^k\|_1 \leq C \|(x_j)_{j=1}^k\|_p \|(y_j^*)_{j=1}^k\|_{mid,p^*} \tag{1}$$

for any $(x_j)_{j=1}^k \subset X$ and $(y_j^*)_{j=1}^k \subset Y^*$. $\mathcal{D}_p^{mid}(X; Y)$ denotes the space of all strongly mid p -summing operators from X to Y . The least C for which (1) holds will be written $d_p^{mid}(T)$. From the definition it is clear that $\mathcal{D}_p(X; Y) \subset \mathcal{D}_p^{mid}(X; Y)$ and $d_p^{mid}(\cdot) \leq d_p(\cdot)$.

For $T \in \mathcal{L}(X; Y)$. The induced map $\varphi_T : X \times Y^* \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ given by $\varphi_T(x, y^*) = \langle T(x), y^* \rangle$ for all $x \in X$ and $y^* \in Y^*$ is continuous bilinear. Using the abstract approach of [1] and Proposition 1.9 in [2], we can see that the next proposition is immediate consequences of [1, Proposition 2.4].

Proposition 1 *Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(X; Y)$, the following assertions are equivalent.*

1. $T \in \mathcal{D}_p^{mid}(X; Y)$.
2. $(\varphi_T(x_j, y_j^*))_{j=1}^\infty \in \ell_1$ whenever $(x_j)_{j=1}^\infty \in \ell_p(X)$ and $(y_j^*)_{j=1}^\infty \in \ell_{p^*}^{mid}(Y^*)$.
3. The induced map $\widehat{\varphi}_T : \ell_p(X) \times \ell_{p^*}^{mid}(Y^*) \rightarrow \ell_1$ given by $\widehat{\varphi}_T((x_j)_{j=1}^\infty, (y_j^*)_{j=1}^\infty) = (\varphi_T(x_j, y_j^*))_{j=1}^\infty$ is a well-define and continuous bilinear operator.
4. There exist a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\|(\varphi_T(x_j, y_j^*))_{j=1}^\infty\|_1 \leq C \| (x_j)_{j=1}^\infty \|_p \| (y_j^*)_{j=1}^\infty \|_{mid, p^*}. \quad (2)$$

for any $(x_j)_{j=1}^\infty \in \ell_p(X)$ and $(y_j^*)_{j=1}^\infty \in \ell_{p^*}^{mid}(Y^*)$.

5. There exist a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\|(\varphi_T(x_j, y_j^*))_{j=1}^k\|_1 \leq C \| (x_j)_{j=1}^k \|_p \| (y_j^*)_{j=1}^k \|_{mid, p^*}. \quad (3)$$

for any $(x_j)_{j=1}^k \subset X$ and $(y_j^*)_{j=1}^k \subset Y^*$.

Moreover, $d_p^{mid}(T) = \|\widehat{\varphi}_T\| = \inf\{C : (2) \text{ holds}\} = \inf\{C : (3) \text{ holds}\}$.

Theorem 2 $(\mathcal{D}_p^{mid}(X; Y), d_p^{mid}(\cdot))$ is a Banach operator ideal.

Proof. Using the abstract framework, notation and language [1], we find that a linear operator T is strongly p -summing if and only if φ_T is $(\ell_p(\cdot), \ell_{p^*}^{mid}(\cdot); \ell_1)$ -summing. Since $1/p + 1/p^* = 1$ we obtain

$$\|(\lambda_j^1 \cdot \lambda_j^2)_{j=1}^\infty\|_1 \leq \|(\lambda_j^1)_{j=1}^\infty\|_{\ell_p(\mathbb{K})} \|(\lambda_j^2)_{j=1}^\infty\|_{\ell_{p^*}(\mathbb{K})} = \|(\lambda_j^1)_{j=1}^\infty\|_{\ell_p(\mathbb{K})} \|(\lambda_j^2)_{j=1}^\infty\|_{\ell_{p^*}^{mid}(\mathbb{K})}.$$

Therefore, $\ell_p(\mathbb{K})\ell_{p^*}^{mid}(\mathbb{K}) = \ell_p(\mathbb{K})\ell_{p^*}(\mathbb{K}) \xrightarrow{1} \ell_1$. In addition, all the sequence classes involved are linearly stable (see [2, Proposition 1.10]). So, from [1, Theorem 3.6] it follows that $(\mathcal{D}_p^{mid}, d_p^{mid}(\cdot))$ is a Banach operators ideal. ■ In the next theorem, we will show that in fact the absolutely mid p -summing linear operators is the adjoint of strongly mid p -summing linear operators that will be useful throughout our section.

Theorem 3 *Let $1 < p \leq \infty$, $T \in \mathcal{L}(X; Y)$. Then $T \in \mathcal{D}_p^{mid}(X; Y)$ if and only if $T^* \in \Pi_{p^*}^{mid}(Y^*; X^*)$. Moreover, $d_p^{mid}(T) = \pi_{p^*}^{mid}(T^*)$.*

Proof. Assume that $T \in \mathcal{D}_p^{mid}(X; Y)$. For $(x_j)_{j=1}^\infty \in \ell_p(X)$ and $(y_j^*)_{j=1}^\infty \in \ell_{p^*}^{mid}(Y^*)$. We have

$$\|(\langle T(x_j), y_j^* \rangle)_{j=1}^\infty\|_1 = \|(\langle T^*(y_j^*), x_j \rangle)_{j=1}^\infty\|_1 \leq d_p^{mid}(T) \| (x_j)_{j=1}^\infty \|_p \| (y_j^*)_{j=1}^\infty \|_{mid, p^*}$$

by taking the supremum over the unit ball in $\ell_p(X)$ we obtain $\|(T^*(y_j^*))_{j=1}^\infty\|_{p^*} \leq C \| (y_j^*)_{j=1}^\infty \|_{mid, p^*}$.

Therefore, $T^* : Y^* \rightarrow X^*$ is absolutely mid p^* -summing and $d_p^{mid}(T) \geq \pi_{p^*}^{mid}(T^*)$. Conversely, let $T^* \in \Pi_{p^*}^{mid}(Y^*; X^*)$. For $(x_j)_{j=1}^\infty \in \ell_p(X)$ and $(y_j^*)_{j=1}^\infty \in \ell_{p^*}^{mid}(Y^*)$. By Hölder's inequality we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^\infty |\langle T(x_j), y_j^* \rangle| \leq \| (x_j)_{j=1}^\infty \|_p \| (T^*(y_j^*))_{j=1}^\infty \|_{p^*} \leq \pi_{p^*}^{mid}(T^*) \| (x_j)_{j=1}^\infty \|_p \| (y_j^*)_{j=1}^\infty \|_{mid, p^*}.$$

Therefore T is strongly mid p -summing and $d_p^{mid}(T) = \pi_{p^*}^{mid}(T^*)$. ■ As a consequence, we obtain the following corollary which is a straightforward consequence of the preceding theorem and Theorem 2.8 in [2].

Corollary 4 Let $1 \leq p < \infty$, $T \in \mathcal{L}(X;Y)$. If $T^* \in \mathcal{D}_{p^*}^{mid}(Y^*;X^*)$ then $T \in \Pi_p^{mid}(X;Y)$.

Recall that an operator ideal $\mathcal{I}(X;Y)$ is surjective if $T \in \mathcal{I}(X;Y)$ whenever $T \circ Q \in \mathcal{I}(X_0;Y)$, where $Q : X_0 \rightarrow X$ is the quotient map. As consequence, we obtain the following proposition which is a straightforward consequence of Theorem 3, and [2, Proposition 2.8] and [6, Section 4].

Proposition 5 The operator ideal $(\mathcal{D}_p^{mid}, d_p^{mid})$ is surjective.

The Dvoretzky–Rogers theorem (see [3]) states that the Banach space X is finite dimensional if, and only if, id_X is p -summing. Now we will give a results in this context.

We say that a Banach space X is a weak mid p -space (or p -Dunford-Pettis property see [4]) if $\ell_p^{mid}(X) = \ell_p^w(X)$ and it is a strong mid p -space if $\ell_p^{mid}(X) = \ell_p(X)$.

The next result is a reformulation of [2, Theorem 2.7].

Theorem 6 The following are equivalent :

- 1) X is a strong mid p -space.
- 2) $id_X \in \Pi_p^{mid}(X;X)$.
- 3) $\mathcal{L}(X;Y) = \Pi_p^{mid}(X;Y)$ for every Banach space Y .
- 4) $\mathcal{L}(Y;X) = \Pi_p^{mid}(Y;X)$ for every Banach space Y .
- 5) X is a subspace of $L_p(\mu)$ for some Borel measure μ .

Corollary 7 If X^{**} is a strong mid p -space then X is a strong mid p -space.

Proof. Is a direct consequence of Theorem 6 and [2, Proposition 2.8]. ■

Theorem 8 The following are equivalent :

- 1) X^* is a strong mid p^* -space.
- 2) $id_X \in \mathcal{D}_p^{mid}(X;X)$.
- 3) $\mathcal{L}(X;Y) = \mathcal{D}_p^{mid}(X;Y)$ for every Banach space Y .
- 4) $\mathcal{L}(Y;X) = \mathcal{D}_p^{mid}(Y;X)$ for every Banach space Y .
- 5) $id_{X^*} \in \Pi_{p^*}^{mid}(X^*;X^*)$.
- 6) $\mathcal{L}(X^*;Y) = \Pi_{p^*}^{mid}(X^*;Y)$ for every Banach space Y .
- 7) $\mathcal{L}(Y;X^*) = \Pi_{p^*}^{mid}(Y;X^*)$ for every Banach space Y .
- 8) X^* is a subspace of $L_{p^*}(\mu)$ for some Borel measure μ .

Proof. By Theorem 3 and Theorem 6, we have

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & & & 7 & & \\
 & & & & \updownarrow & & \\
 8 & \Leftrightarrow & 1 & \Leftrightarrow & 5 & \Leftrightarrow & 6 \\
 & & & & \updownarrow & & \\
 & & & 3 & \Leftrightarrow & 2 & \Leftrightarrow & 4
 \end{array}$$

■

Theorem 9 [2, Theorem 2.6][4, Theorem 3.7] The following are equivalent :

- 1) X has the p -Dunford-Pettis property.
- 2) $\Pi_p^{mid}(X;Y) = \Pi_p(X;Y)$ for every Banach space Y .
- 3) $\Pi_p^{mid}(X; \ell_p) = \Pi_p(X; \ell_p) = \mathcal{L}(X; \ell_p)$.
- 4) $\mathcal{D}_{p^*}(\ell_{p^*}; X^*) = \mathcal{L}(\ell_{p^*}; X^*)$.

Theorem 10 *The following are equivalent :*

- 1) X^* has the p -Dunford-Pettis property.
- 2) $\mathcal{D}_p^{mid}(Y; X) = \mathcal{D}_{p^*}(Y; X)$ for every Banach space Y .
- 3) $\Pi_p^{mid}(X^*; Y^*) = \Pi_p(X^*; Y^*)$ for every Banach space Y .
- 4) $\Pi_p^{mid}(X^*; \ell_p) = \Pi_p(X^*; \ell_p) = \mathcal{L}(X^*; \ell_p)$.
- 5) $\mathcal{D}_p^{mid}(\ell_{p^*}; X) = \mathcal{D}_{p^*}(\ell_{p^*}; X) = \mathcal{L}(\ell_{p^*}; X)$.
- 6) $\mathcal{D}_{p^*}(\ell_{p^*}; X) = \mathcal{L}(\ell_{p^*}; X)$.

Proof. follows from Theorem 3 and Theorem 9. ■

Corollary 11 X^{**} has the p -Dunford-Pettis property if and only if X has the p -Dunford-Pettis property.

Corollary 12 [4, Corollary 3.9] If X^* has the p -Dunford-Pettis property, so does X .

Theorem 13 X^* has the p -Dunford-Pettis property if and only if X has the p -Dunford-Pettis property.

3. REFERENCES

- [1] G. Botelho and J.R. Campos. On the transformation of vector-valued sequences by multilinear operators, *Monatsh. Math* 183 (2017), 415-435.
- [2] G. Botelho, J.R. Campos and J. Santos, Operator ideals related to absolutely summing and Cohen strongly summing operators, *Pacific J. Math.* 287 (2017), 1–17.
- [3] J. Diestel, H. Jarchow and A. Tonge. Absolutely summing operators. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, (1995).
- [4] A. Karn and D. Sinha, An operator summability of sequences in Banach spaces, *Glasg. Math. J.* 56 (2014), no. 2, 427–437.
- [5] J. Cohen. Absolutely p -summing, p -nuclear operators and their conjugates, *conjugates*, *Math. Ann* 201 (1973), 177-200 .
- [6] A. Pietsch. Operator ideals. Deutsch. Verlag Wiss, Berlin, 1978; North-Holland, Amsterdam-London-New York-Tokyo, (1980).
- [7] Apiola, H. : Duality between spaces of p -summable sequences, (p, q) -summing operators and characterization of nuclearity. *Math. Ann* **219**, 53–64 (1976).