

A FUNCTIONAL EQUATION ARISING IN DYNAMIC PROGRAMMING VIA A GENERALIZED F- WEAK CONTRACTIONS OF HARDY-ROGERS

Derouiche Djamilia and Ramoul Hichem

Departement of mathematics, university of Khenchela,
Departement of mathematics, university of Khenchela

ABSTRACT

In this work, we define the notion of generalized F -weak contraction and prove the corresponding fixed point theorem. Roughly speaking, we generalize and improve the notion of F -contraction of Hardy-Rogers-type in the setting of complete b -metric spaces. As an application we establish sufficient criteria for the existence and uniqueness of bounded solutions of functional equations in dynamic programming.

Keywords : b -Metric space, contraction of Hardy–Rogers type, dynamic programming, F -contraction, fixed point, functional equations.

Mathematics Subject Classification.Primary 47H09, 47H10, 90C39, 45D05, 34A12; Secondary 54H25.

1. INTRODUCTION

The well known Banach's contraction mapping principle [2] is the most significant fundamental fixed point result. Since this principle has a lot of applications in different branches of mathematics, several authors have extended, generalized and improved it in many directions by considering different forms of mappings or various types of spaces. In the paper [15], an interesting generalization of Banach contraction principle is given by introducing the concept of F -contraction. After that, the notion of F -weak contraction of Hardy-Rogers is introduced in [11] as a generalization of F -contraction in complete metric spaces. One of the most prevalent generalization of the metric spaces was given in the article [4] through the notion of b -metric spaces. In our paper, we utilize these two last notions to introduce new types of F -weak contractions of Hardy-Rogers in the setting of b -metric spaces and to prove some fixed point results. Roughly speaking, we extend and improve (respectively, improve) some results in [11]. More precisely, the results obtained in our paper extended the aforementioned results in b -metric spaces and contain less conditions imposed on the function F . Moreover, the consequences of our main results are improved and generalized versions of some results appearing in literature.

2. F-WEAK CONTRACTIONS OF HARDY-ROGERS TYPE

In the first, we recall some known definitions and results which will be used in the sequel.

2.1. b -metric spaces

In 1989, Bakhtin [4] introduced the concept of b -metric spaces as follows

Definition 2.1 Let X be a nonempty set and let $s \geq 1$ be a given real number. A mapping $\sigma : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is said to be a b -metric if, for all $x, y, z \in X$, the following conditions hold :

- (b₁) $\sigma(x, y) = 0$ if and only if $x = y$;
- (b₂) $\sigma(x, y) = \sigma(y, x)$;
- (b₃) $\sigma(x, z) \leq s[\sigma(x, y) + \sigma(y, z)]$.

Example 2.2 Let (X, d) be a metric space and let the mapping $\sigma_d : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be defined by

$$\sigma_d(x, y) = (d(x, y))^p, \text{ for all } x, y \in X,$$

where $p > 1$ is a fixed real number. Then (X, σ_d) is a b-metric space with $s = 2^{p-1}$.

We present now the concepts of convergence, Cauchy sequence and completeness in b-metric spaces.

Definition 2.3 Let (X, σ) be a b-metric space. Then a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X is called :

- (a) convergent if and only if there exists $x \in X$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma(x_n, x) = 0$ and in this case we write $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$;
- (b) Cauchy if and only if $\lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} \sigma(x_n, x_m) = 0$.

Definition 2.4 The b-metric space (X, σ) is said complete if every Cauchy sequence in X converges in X .

Remark 2.5 In a b-metric space, the following assertions hold :

- (i) a convergent sequence has a unique limit;
- (ii) each convergent sequence is Cauchy.

2.2. F-weak contraction of Hardy-Rogers type

In 2012, Wardowski [15] introduced the notion of F-contraction as follows :

Definition 2.6 (See [15]) Let (X, d) be a metric space. A mapping $T : X \rightarrow X$ is said to be an F-contraction if there exist $F \in \mathcal{F}$ and $\tau > 0$ such that for all $x, y \in X$,

$$d(Tx, Ty) > 0 \Rightarrow \tau + F(d(Tx, Ty)) \leq F(d(x, y)), \quad (1)$$

where \mathcal{F} is the family of all functions $F : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying the following conditions :

- (F₁) F is strictly increasing, i.e., for all $\alpha, \beta \in (0, \infty)$, if $\alpha < \beta$, then $F(\alpha) < F(\beta)$.
- (F₂) For each sequence $\{\alpha_n\}$ of positive numbers, the following holds :

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n = 0 \text{ if and only if } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(\alpha_n) = -\infty.$$

- (F₃) There exists $k \in (0, 1)$ such that $\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0^+} \alpha^k F(\alpha) = 0$.

Lukács and Kajántó [11] defined a new class of functions (noted $\mathcal{F}_{s, \tau}$). Their definition is given below.

Definition 2.7 (See [11, Definition 2.7]) Let $s \geq 1$ and $\tau > 0$. We say that $F \in \mathbb{F}^*$ belongs to $\mathcal{F}_{s, \tau}$ if it is also satisfies

(F_{s, \tau}) if $\inf F = -\infty$ and $x, y, z \in (0, \infty)$ are such that $\tau + F(sx) \leq F(y)$ and $\tau + F(sy) \leq F(z)$ then

$$\tau + F(s^2x) \leq F(sy),$$

where \mathbb{F}^* is the set of all functions $F : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying the conditions (F₁) and (F₃).

Next, the authors in [11] introduced the notion of F -weak contraction of Hardy-Rogers-type in the setting of b -metric spaces as follows :

Definition 2.8 (See [11, Definition 5.1]) Let (X, σ) be a b -metric space with constant $s \geq 1$, $a, b, c, e, f \geq 0$ real numbers and $T : X \rightarrow X$ an operator. If there exist $\tau > 0$ and $F \in \widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{s, \tau}$ such that for all $x, y \in X$ the inequality $\sigma(Tx, Ty) > 0$ implies

$$\tau + F(s\sigma(Tx, Ty)) \leq F(A_T^\sigma(x, y)),$$

where

$$A_T^\sigma(x, y) = a\sigma(x, y) + b\sigma(x, Tx) + c\sigma(y, Ty) + e\sigma(x, Ty) + f\sigma(y, Tx),$$

then T is called an F -weak contraction of Hardy-Rogers-type.

In [11], Lukács and Kajántó proved the fixed point result below.

Theorem 2.9 (See [11, Theorem 5.2]) Suppose that (X, σ) is a b -metric space with constant $s \geq 1$ and $T : X \rightarrow X$ is an F -weak contraction of Hardy-Rogers-type. If either $a + b + c + (s + 1)e < 1$ or $a + b + c + (s + 1)f < 1$ holds, then every $x_0 \in X$, the sequence $x_{n+1} = Tx_n$ converges to a fixed point of T . Moreover, if $a + e + f < s$ holds as well, then T has exactly one fixed point.

2.3. Generalized F -weak contraction of Hardy-Rogers-type

In this subsection, we do several improvements in Theorem 2.9. For the sake of readability, we keep some notations used in [11]. Throughout this subsection, (X, σ) represents a b -metric space with constant $s \geq 1$. We recall again for all $x, y \in X$

$$A_T^\sigma(x, y) = a\sigma(x, y) + b\sigma(x, Tx) + c\sigma(y, Ty) + e\sigma(x, Ty) + f\sigma(y, Tx),$$

where a, b, c, e, f are nonnegative real numbers. we introduced the following definition.

Definition 2.10 Let (X, σ) be a b -metric space with constant $s \geq 1$. A mapping $T : X \rightarrow X$ is said to be a generalized F -weak contraction of Hardy-Rogers-type if there exist a nondecreasing function $F : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $\tau \in \mathcal{S}_1$ such that for all $x, y \in X$,

$$\sigma(Tx, Ty) > 0 \Rightarrow \tau(\sigma(x, y)) + F(s\sigma(Tx, Ty)) \leq F(A_T^\sigma(x, y)). \quad (2)$$

Where \mathcal{S}_1 is the set of all functions $\tau : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ which satisfy the following condition :

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow \eta^+} \tau(t) > 0, \quad \text{for all } \eta > 0. \quad (A_1)$$

Before stating our main results, we proved the following useful lemma.

Lemma 2.11 Let $\kappa \geq 1$ be a given real number. Let $\{t_n\} \subset (0, \infty)$ be a sequence and let $\phi, \psi : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be two functions satisfying the following conditions :

- (i) $\psi(\kappa t_n) \leq \phi(t_{n-1})$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$;
- (ii) ψ is nondecreasing;
- (iii) $\phi(t) < \psi(t)$, for all $t > 0$;
- (iv) $\limsup_{t \rightarrow \eta^+} \phi(t) < \psi(\eta^+)$, for all $\eta > 0$.

Then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_n = 0$.

Also, we proved the following proposition which plays an important role in the proofs of our results.

Proposition 2.12 Let (X, σ) be a b -metric space with constant $s \geq 1$ and let λ be a given real number such that $1 \leq \lambda \leq s$. Let $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping and $\{x_n\}$ the Picard sequence of T based on an arbitrary $x_0 \in X$. Assume that there exist a nondecreasing function F and $\tau \in \mathcal{S}_1$ such that for all $z \in X$ with $Tz \neq T^2z$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \tau(\sigma(z, Tz)) + F(\lambda \sigma(Tz, T^2z)) \\ & \leq F((d_1 + d_2) \sigma(z, Tz) + d_3 \sigma(Tz, T^2z) + d_4 \sigma(z, T^2z)), \end{aligned} \tag{P}$$

where d_1, d_2, d_3, d_4 are nonnegative real numbers satisfying

$$d_1 + d_2 + d_3 + 2d_4s = \frac{\lambda}{s} \text{ and } d_3 \neq \frac{\lambda}{s}. \tag{D}$$

Then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma(x_n, x_{n+1}) = 0$.

Now, we stated and proved the following theorem.

Theorem 2.13 Let (X, σ) be a complete b -metric space with constant $s \geq 1$ and $T : X \rightarrow X$ a generalized F -weak contraction of Hardy-Rogers-type. Suppose that either (\mathcal{A}_s^1) or (\mathcal{A}_s^2) holds, where

$$(\mathcal{A}_s^1) \quad a + b + c + (s + 1)e < 1,$$

$$(\mathcal{A}_s^2) \quad a + b + c + (s + 1)f < 1.$$

Furthermore, we assume that $sa + s^2(e + f) < 1$. Then T has a unique fixed point x^* and for every $x_0 \in X$ the sequence $\{T^n x_0\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to x^* .

Remark 2.14 Compared with Theorem 2.9, it is clear that Theorem 2.13 gives some improvements. Actually, τ is taken as a function in Theorem 2.13. Moreover, Theorem 2.13 shows that both conditions (F_3) and (F_s, τ) from Theorem 2.9 are dropped and replaced by the condition that $sa + s^2(e + f) < 1$. This latter condition is quite simple and ensures simultaneously, with the remaining common hypotheses of Theorem 2.9 and Theorem 2.13, the existence and uniqueness of the fixed point. However, Theorem 2.13 does not cover totally Theorem 2.9, since the condition that $a + e + f < s$ (in Theorem 2.9) which is only used in the uniqueness part is slightly weaker than the condition that $sa + s^2(e + f) < 1$. Besides, the strictness of the monotonicity of F is not necessary.

In what follows, we presented another proof of Theorem 1-(a) of Hardy-Rogers [7].

Corollary 2.15 (See [7, Theorem 1-(a)]) Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and T a self-mapping on X satisfying for all $x, y \in X$,

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq \theta_1 d(x, y) + \theta_2 d(x, Tx) + \theta_3 d(y, Ty) + \theta_4 d(x, Ty) + \theta_5 d(y, Tx), \tag{3}$$

where $\theta_i, i = 1, \dots, 5$ are nonnegative numbers such that $\theta = \sum_{i=1}^5 \theta_i < 1$. Then, T has a unique fixed point.

Example 2.16 Let $X = [0, 5]$ be equipped with the euclidean distance $d = | \cdot |$ and $T : X \rightarrow X$ a mapping defined by

$$Tx = \begin{cases} 5, & \text{if } x \in]0, 5], \\ \frac{9}{2}, & \text{if } x = 0. \end{cases}$$

Obviously, we get

$$d(Tx, Ty) = \frac{1}{2} > 0 \Leftrightarrow [(x \in]0, 5] \wedge y = 0) \vee (y \in]0, 5] \wedge x = 0)]. \quad (4)$$

Let $x, y \in X$ and denote

$$\mathfrak{D}(x, y) = \frac{1}{8}d(x, y) + \frac{1}{4}d(x, Tx) + \frac{1}{4}d(y, Ty) + \frac{1}{16}(d(x, Ty) + d(y, Tx)).$$

Next, in each of the above cases (4), we obtain

$$\mathfrak{D}(x, y) \geq \frac{1}{4}d(0, T0) = \frac{9}{8}.$$

On the other hand, by using $h + \frac{1}{h} \geq 2, \forall h > 0$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{22}{9} - \frac{1}{(d(Tx, Ty))^2 + 1 + (-1)^q} &\leq \frac{22}{9} - \frac{4}{9} = 2 \\ &\leq \mathfrak{D}(x, y) + \frac{1}{\mathfrak{D}(x, y)}, \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y \in X$ with $Tx \neq Ty$ and $q \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

As $d(Tx, Ty) < 1$ and $\mathfrak{D}(x, y) > 1$, then by choosing $\tau = \frac{22}{9}$ and $F : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$F(t) = \begin{cases} -\frac{1}{t^2 + 1 + (-1)^q}, & \text{if } 0 < t \leq 1, \quad q \in \mathbb{N}_0, \\ t + \frac{1}{t}, & \text{if } t > 1, \end{cases}$$

it is easy to see that all the conditions of theorem 2.13 are fulfilled for $a = \frac{1}{8}, b = c = \frac{1}{4}$ and $e = f = \frac{1}{16}$ and $\tau = \frac{22}{9}$. Consequently, T has a unique fixed point x^* (which is 5).

3. REFERENCES

- [1] A.Aghajani, M. Abbas, J. R. Roshan, *Common fixed point of generalized weak contractive mappings in partially ordered b-metric spaces*. Math. Slovaca **64(4)** (2014), 941-960.
- [2] S. Banach, *Sur les opérations dans les ensembles abstraits et leurs applications aux équations intégrales*. Fund. Math. **3** (1922), 133-181.
- [3] V. Cosentino, P. Vetro, *Fixed point result for F-contractive mappings of Hardy-Rogers-Type*. Filomat **28(4)** (2014), 715-722.
- [4] I. A. Bakhtin, *The contraction mapping principle in quasi-metric spaces*. Func. An. Gos. Ped. Inst. Unianowsk **30** (1989), 26-37.
- [5] S. Czerwik, *Contraction mappings in b-metric spaces*. Acta Math. Inform. Univ. Ostraviensis **1** (1993), 5-11.
- [6] S. Czerwik, *Nonlinear set-valued contraction mappings in b-metric spaces*. Atti Sem. Math. Fis. Univ. Modena **46(2)** (1998), 263-276.
- [7] G. E. Hardy, T. D. Rogers, *A Generalization of a fixed point theorem of Reich*. Canad. Math. Bull. **16(2)** (1973), 201-206.

- [8] K. Khammahawong, P. Kumam, *A best proximity point theorem for Roger–Hardy type generalized F -contractive mappings in complete metric spaces with some examples*. Rev. R. Acad. Cienc. Exactas. Fis. Nat. Ser. A Math. RACSAM **112** (2018), 1503–1519.
- [9] M. A. Khamsi, N. Hussain, *KKM mappings in metric type spaces*. Nonlinear Analysis **73** (2010), 3123–3129.
- [10] W. Kirk, N. Shahzad, *Fixed point theory in distance spaces*. Springer International Publishing, Switzerland, 2014.
- [11] A. Lukács, S. Kajántó, *Fixed point theorems for various types of F -contractions in complete b -metric spaces*. Fixed Point Theory **19(1)** (2018), 321–334.
- [12] A. Lukács, S. Kajántó, *On the conditions of fixed-point theorems concerning F -contractions*. Results Math **73(82)** (2018).
- [13] F. Vetro, *F -contractions of Hardy-Rogers type and application to multistage decision processes*. Nonlinear Analysis : Modelling and Control **21(4)** (2016), 531–546.
- [14] F. Vetro, C. Vetro, *The Class of F -Contraction Mappings with a Measure of Noncompactness*. In : J. Banaś, M. Jleli, M. Mursaleen, B. Samet, C. Vetro (eds) Advances in Nonlinear Analysis via the Concept of Measure of Noncompactness, pp. 297–331, Springer, Singapore (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-10-3722-1-7>
- [15] D. Wardowski, *Fixed points of a new type of contractive mappings in complete metric spaces*. Fixed Point Theory Appl **2012(94)** (2012).
- [16] D. Wardowski, *Solving existence problems via F -contractions*. Proc. Am. Math. Soc. **146** (2018), 1585–1598.
- [17] DJAMILA DEROUICHE, HICHEM RAMOUL , *New fixed point results for F -contractions of Hardy-Rogers type in b -metric spaces with applications*, J. Fixed Point Theory Appl, Volume 22, issue 04, n 86, (2020).